Ontario Air and Noise Best Practices				
Topic	s.9 ECA Renewal Application Fee	Date: October 19, 2012 Version 2.0		
Purpose	To provide guidance on how to determine the application fee when renewing a s. 9 Comprehensive ECA	Page 1 of 2		

Comprehensive ECAs require renewal, as described in the terms and conditions on the permit, if the facility wishes to retain operation flexibility. The fee associated with the renewal is dependent on whether new sources (air and/or noise) have been installed.

Sources that have been added during the operational flexibility (i.e., documented in the ESDM Report and/or Noise Assessment) should be included in the cost of the ESDM Review [Table 2] and Noise Assessment Review [Table 3]. In this case, the equipment specific costs [Table 1, Table 3 (as appropriate] do not apply. However, if the facility wishes to install equipment that has not been previously incorporated into the assessments (i.e., not yet installed, but included in the renewal application) then the appropriate fees from Table 1, Table 3 (as appropriate) should be applied.

## Example:

An aggregate facility operating the following equipment at the time of the initial Comprehensive ECA application:

- Above grade primary crusher (Source 1)
- Above grade secondary crusher (Source 2)
- Associated screens and conveyors
- Diesel generator (Source 3)
- Material handling activities

The following equipment was added and incorporated in the annual Written Summaries:

- Above grade primary crusher (Source 4)
- Above grade secondary crusher (Source 5)
- Associated screens and conveyors

As part of the renewal application, the facility would like to install an additional diesel generator (Source 6).

Therefore the cost of the application would be determined as follows:

Ontario Air and Noise Best Practices				
Topic	s.9 ECA Renewal Application Fee	Date: October 19, 2012 Version 2.0		
Purpose	To provide guidance on how to determine the application fee when renewing a s. 9 Comprehensive ECA	Page 2 of 2		

Category ID	Description	Fee	Rationale
_	Administration	\$200	_
Table 2: ESDM Report Review (less than 5 sources)	<ul> <li>Two (2) above grade primary crusher (Sources 1 &amp; 4)</li> <li>Two (2) above grade secondary crusher (Sources 2 &amp; 5)</li> <li>Associated screens and conveyors</li> <li>Diesel generator (Source 3)</li> <li>Material handling activities</li> </ul>	\$0	Similar methodology used to calculate the emissions from the sources All sources incorporated in previously submitted ESDM Reports or annual Written Summaries
Table 1 (section 1.3.1) Equipment with a flow rate of less than or equal to 1.5 m <sup>3</sup> /s	• Diesel generator (Source 6)	\$400	Equipment not reviewed in previously submitted annual Written Summaries
Table 3: Noise Assessment Review	<ul> <li>Two (2) above grade primary crusher (Sources 1 &amp; 4)</li> <li>Two (2) above grade secondary crusher (Sources 2 &amp; 5)</li> <li>Associated screens and conveyors</li> <li>Diesel generator (Source 3)</li> <li>Material handling activities</li> </ul>		Equipment was previously reviewed by the Director
Table 3 (section 3.2.2)	• One (1) diesel generator (Source 6)	\$200	Equipment is identical to previously approved equipment
	Total	\$800	

If further assistance is required please contact the Air and /or Noise Duty Officers at the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch at 1-800-461-6290.

Best Practice developed by the Best Practices Committee.